

PRISMA 2020 Checklist (*Adapted by Dr Eric A. Jensen for lean REAs, 2023*)

NOTE: Items in the list below shown in this color are less important and can be omitted or reduced if necessary due to time constraints.

Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist item	Location where item is reported
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a <i>rapid evidence assessment</i> .	
ABSTRACT			
Abstract	2	See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist.	
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.	
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses. The research question should be very easy to find.	
METHODS			
Eligibility criteria	5	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.	
Information sources	6	Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.	
Search strategy	7	Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.	
Selection process	8	Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	
Data or findings collection process	9	Specify the methods used to collect data or findings from reports, including how many reviewers collected data or findings from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data or findings from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	
Data or findings items	10a	List and define all outcomes for which <i>data relevant to your research question</i> were sought in the selected pool of studies	
	10b	List and define all other variables (e.g., predictor variables or covariates) for which data or findings were sought (e.g., participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.	
Study risk of bias assessment	11	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	
[If relevant] Effect measures	12	[If applicable] Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.	
Synthesis methods and reporting for journal publication	13a	Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis in your methods (links to items #5-8).	
	13b	Describe any methods required to prepare the data or findings for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data / results conversions.	
	13c	Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.	
	13d	Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s).	
	13e	[If applicable] Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression).	
[If applicable] Reporting bias assessment	14	[If applicable] Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).	
[If applicable] Certainty assessment	15	[If applicable] Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome.	

PRISMA 2020 Checklist (Adapted by Dr Eric A. Jensen for REAs, 2023)

Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist item	Location where item is reported
RESULTS			
Study selection	16a	Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally [time permitting] using a flow diagram.	
	16b	Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded.	
Study characteristics	17	Cite each included study and present its characteristics.	
Risk of bias in studies	18	Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study.	
Results of individual studies	19	[If applicable] For all outcomes, present, for each study: (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots.	
[If applicable] Certainty of evidence	22	[If applicable] Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed.	
DISCUSSION			
Discussion	23a	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence.	
	23b	Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review.	
	23c	Discuss any limitations of the review processes used.	
	23d	Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and/or future research.	
OTHER INFORMATION			
[If applicable] Registration and protocol	24a	[If applicable] Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered.	
	24b	[If applicable] Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared.	
	24c	[If applicable] Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol.	
Support	25	Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review.	
Competing interests	26	Declare any competing interests of review authors.	
Availability of data, code and other materials	27	Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code ; any other materials used in the review.	

From: Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71
 For more information, visit: <http://www.prisma-statement.org/>